



09-CAB-006

INL Site Environmental Management

CITIZENS ADVISORY BOARD

June 19, 2009

Chair

R.D. Maynard

Vice Chair

John Bolliger

Members

Seth Beal

Richard Buxton

D.H. DeTonancour

Harrison Gerstlauer

Nicki Karst

Willie Preacher

Robert Rodriguez

Tami Sherwood

Fred Sica

Damond Watkins

Bruce Wendle

Liaisons

Dennis Faulk

U.S. EPA, Region 10

Rick Provencher

DDFO, DOE-ID

Susan Burke

State of Idaho

Daryl Koch

State of Idaho

Brent Rankin

Idaho Cleanup Project

Support Services

Portage, Inc.

1075 S. Utah Avenue

Idaho Falls, ID 83402

Phone 208.419.4102

Fax 208.523.8860

Richard Provencher

U.S. Department of Energy, Idaho Operations Office

1955 N. Fremont Ave., MS 1222

Idaho Falls, ID 83415-1220

Subject: Recommendation #142, Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis for TSF-07 Disposal Pond at Test Area North

Mr. Provencher:

Please find attached the Board's recommendation regarding the Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis for TSF-07 Disposal Pond at Test Area North supporting the preferred Alternative 2. This recommendation was approved by majority rather than consensus only because of the inability to obtain approvals from all members via email/phone.

Thank you for informing the CAB and allowing us to provide a recommendation regarding this subject.

Regards,

R.D. Maynard

Chairman

cc: Bob Pence, DOE-ID
Nicole Hernandez, DOE-ID



Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis for TSF-07 Disposal Pond at Test Area North

The Idaho National Laboratory (INL) Site Environmental Management Citizens Advisory Board (CAB) agrees and supports the Department of Energy's (DOE) preferred alternative 2 as outlined in the *Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis for TSF-07 Disposal Pond at Test Area North* (DOE/ID-11401, Rev. 0, May 2009).

This CAB commends DOE for addressing risks to surface soil contamination associated with the TSF-07 Disposal Pond at the Test Area North (TAN) and agrees with the expenditure of American Recovery and Reinvestment Act and (ARRA) funds to mitigate this risk.

However, there seems to be some confusion as to the expectations for sampling for Hg outside the 0.2 acre area of the pond within the EE/CA and request that a clarification be made in the action memorandum.